# **Awards list**

No.	Date	Topics	Host	Result
1	2011.12.16.	2011 Public informatization competition	Korea Local Information Research & Development Institute	Prime minister citation
2	2012. 2.9.	New Mayor's new 100 projects	Seoul city government	runners-up
3	2012.8.6.	Future government awards	Future government	Get shorted
4	2012.10	WeGO awards	World e-Governments Organization of Cities and Local Governments	Get shorted
5	2012.10.18	Guangzhou international awards	City of Guangzhou	Deserving Initiatives

# □ 2011 Public informatization competition

- Date : 2012.12.16(Sun)

- Host: Korea Local Information Research & Development Institute

- Awarder: Ministry of Public Administration and Security

- Winner: City of Seoul (Subcontract Payment System)

- Award: Prime minister citation

O Evidence of official document



# □ New Mayor's 100 projects

- As New Mayor Park took office formally (Oct. 2011), he announced new 100 projects. Subcontract Payment System was selected to be one of them.
- O Evidence of official document

### 서울특별시





수신자 수신자참조

(경유)

제 목 시장 취임 100일 기념 '희망씨앗' 시민평가 등 후속조치

- 1. 우리 시에서는 시장 취임 100일을 맞아 그간의 노력으로 시민들이 누리게 된 혜택이 담긴 '100개의 희망씨앗'을 선정하고 시민평가와 함께 정책 아이 디어를 수렴하였습니다.
- 2. '회망씨앗'에 대하여 많은 시민들이 관심을 보여 온라인 평가 뿐만 아니라 참신하고 워피있는 정책 아이디어와 응원메시지도 보내주셨습니다.
- 3. 전 기관에서는 평가결과에 나타난 시민들의 마음을 담은 각 '희망 씨앗'이 점착될 수 있도록 노력하여 주시기 바랍니다. 아울리 '천만상상오아시스'를 통해 시민들이 제출한 정책 아이디어들이 추진 부서의 실현가능성 검토를 거쳐 정책화될 수 있도록 후속조치에 최선을 다하여 주시기 바랍니다.

### ※ 관련자료 인터넷 사이트

○ 시민평가결과 사이트

http://www2.seoul.go.kr:8080/event/e\_120120\_policy/list.html

○ 시민정책 아이디어 수렴결과 : 천만상상오아시스

불 임 1, '100개의 희망씨앗' 시민평가 결과 1부.

2. 회망시정 100일 시민 정책 아이디어 수렴결과 1부, 끝,



\*\*Document No.: City Planning Division-1502 (2012.2.9)

# Citizen's evaluation of new Mayor's 100 projects

	No.	Project	추천수	
	1	거시기기에 <u>소</u> 그만 이시가 아 즈카디스 이번	038	
	2	서울시 발주공사에 대한 하도급대금 지급보장 시스템 확대실시	823	•
Ц	3	저구 치夫 人혀 `고모혀스바치` 게바 ㄷ이ㅇㄹ 하게 지아 치야지여 체스	711	Ц
	4	119 생활구조대 5분내 현장 도착으로 시민안전 강화	740	
	5	'시립대학교 반값 등록금' 시행	393	

O Citizens evaluated Subcontract Payment System as **second-most-important** project

# **International Awards**

## □ Future government awards

- O The introduction of Future government awards
  - Asia Pacific's government technology awards celebrating the programmes of the region's most successful government, education and healthcare organisations.
  - The international benchmark by which public sector innovation is judged - uniquely celebrating agency and project success, as well as the most successful city modernisation programmes in the region.
  - \* In 2011, the awards received 498 nominations from government, education and healthcare organisations throughout Asia
- O Result: Get shortlisted
- O Evidence letter

Gosia Klimowicz <gosia.klimowicz@alphabet-media.com>jamesroh@seoul.go.kr

2012-08-06 16:59:35

Seoul Metropolitan Infrastructure Headquarters shortlisted atFutureGov Awards 2012

Dear Mr Roh,

How are you? I'm pleased to inform you that your submission has been **shortlisted** as one of the best projects at FutureGov Awards 2012! Congratulations!

As a shortlisted candidate, I would like to invite you to attend the Award Gala Ceremony at our annual FutureGov Summit, 17-19 October 2012, Chiang Mai, Thailand. At the Award Gala, the shortlisted projects will receive the awards and we will announce the winners.

The Summit is the most senior gathering of public sector officials in Asia Pacific and culmination of all our annual events, to which we invite only senior officials from across Asia Pacific and around the world. The list of confirmed lead participants includes:

James Kang, Government CIO & Assistant Chief Executive, Infocomm Development Authority, Singapore

Dr Yoke Sin Chong, CEO, Integrated Health Information Systems, Singapore

Tan Hoon Chiang, CIO & Divisional Director, National Institute of Education, Singapore, Neo Yong Chiang, CIO, Republic Polytechnic, Singapore, Alex Evans, CIO, Department of Local Government, Western Australia, Thomas Jakobsen, CEO, Citizen Service, City of Copenhagen, Denmark

As an invited delegate of the publication, we will be happy to reimburse a return economy flight and provide accommodation during your stay in Thailand. All food and refreshment will be provided at the Summit.

I attach the brochure with all details. I would like to arrange a call to discuss with you the details. When are you available?

Sincerely,

Gosia Klimowicz Conference Researcher M: +65 9338 91 34 DID: +65 6324 76 52

www.futuregov.asia

# WeGO awards(World e-Governments Organization)

### O Objectives

 Aim to recognize and promote outstanding practices of e-government of cities and local governments as well as public organizations and agencies around the world that used information and communication technologies to improve administrative efficiency and citizens' access to public services.

### O Eligibility


- Open to all the cities and local governments as well as public organizations and agencies around the world. Winners will be awarded at the 2nd General Assembly of WeGO to be held in Barcelona on 12-13 November 2012.

### O Awards Categories

- Services, Efficiency, Open City, Urban Management, Digital Divide
- \*\* Anti-corruption clean construction system applied for Digital Divide(One-PMIS),

  Open City(Subcontract payment system)
- O Homepage: http://awards.we-gov.org/
- O Result: Get shortlisted
- O Schedule
  - Application Submission(Extended): February 1 2012 July 31 2012
  - First round of evaluation by September 15th
  - Second round of evaluation by September 30th
  - Announcement of Winners: October 2012
  - Awarding Ceremony: 13 November 2012 at the 2nd General Assembly of WeGO in Barcelona

## O Evidence document



# ☐ Guangzhou international awards

- O The introduction of Guangzhou international awards
  - Derived from the city's long-term cooperation with United Cities and Local Governments (UCLG) from its foundation in 2004 and with the World Association of Major Metropolises (Metropolis) since 1993
  - Co-hosted by UCLG, Metropolis, and Guangzhou Municipal Government
  - Aim to reward innovations to improve the socio-economic environments in cities and regions, promote sustainability, and advance the livelihood of their citizens
  - Presented biennially, the award will encourage and recognize outstanding innovative projects and practices in the public sector.
  - O Homepage: http://www.guangzhouaward.org/
  - O Result: **Deserving initiatives**
  - O Schedule
    - Deadline for receipt of submissions for consideration: 30 September 2012
    - Evaluation of submissions by the Technical Committee 15 October 2012
    - Second round of evaluation by September 30th
    - Announcement of Winners: October 2012
    - Selection of the final award winners: November 2012

### O Evidence document

# REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE (TC) 2012 GUANGZHOU INTERNATIONAL AWARD FOR URBAN INNOVATION

### CHINA HOTEL, GUANGZHOU, CHINA, 15-17 OCTOBER 2012

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The TC met in Guangzhou from 15 to 17 October 2012 to select <u>outstanding</u> and <u>deserving</u> initiatives with a view to enhancing the implementation of sustainable urban development through inspiration and knowledge sharing. It took into consideration the **goal** of the Guangzhou International Award for Urban Innovation (Guangzhou Award) to reward innovations in improving the social, economic and environmental sustainability in cities and local governments worldwide and more specifically:

- To highlight exemplary models of innovative policies and practices;
- · To motivate cities and local authorities to further promote innovation;
- · To improve city governance.

The TC took also into consideration the **objectives** of the City of Guangzhou to promote the sharing of lessons learned from outstanding initiatives on urban innovation between cities, regions, countries and thematic areas.

The TC wishes to express its appreciation to the City of Guangzhou, the United Cities and Local Government (UCLG) and Metropolis for their vision in establishing the Guangzhou International Award for Urban Innovation. It wishes to thank the City of Guangzhou and for its generous hospitality to the TC. The TC commends the secretariat for the Guangzhou Award in the way it handled the call for submissions, the transparency in its guidelines and processes. It further commends the City of Guangzhou for its intention of organising the first International Seminar on Learning from Excellence using the finalists for the Award as living practices. Such a seminar will go a long way to promoting the transfer of knowledge, expertise and experience.

The TC reviewed all 255 initiatives submitted from 153 cities and from 56 countries and regions. The TC concurred with the suggestions of the Secretariat to classify 35 submissions as non-qualifiers for various reasons including non-compliance to the reporting format and irrelevance of the subject matter. The remaining 220 initiatives were deemed as qualifying for further processing.

Of these 220 initiatives, 45 were identified as outstanding initiatives, proportionate to number of qualifying submissions by region. It further selected 15 **submissions of excellence** considered of comparable merit as the shortlist of initiatives to be submitted to the Jury for its consideration and final selection of 5 award-winning initiatives.

#### II. The Evaluation Process

The TC assessed each submission using the main criteria established by the Guangzhou Award for Urban Innovation namely:

Innovativeness: the extent to which and the use of knowledge of information has been
applied in developing new policies, practices and/or business models to address major urban
issues and challenges;

- Effectiveness: the extent to which the initiative has achieved or is well on its way to achieve
  its stated objective(s) and other socially desirable outcomes;
- Replicability: the value of the initiative in inspiring others to adopt new ideas, policies or
  practices, including replication in other locations of the city, region or country for greater
  impact and sustainability;
- Significance: the importance of the initiative in addressing problems of public concern.

#### III. Selection Procedure for the Short-listed Initiatives

In its first plenary session, the TC divided into two groups (A and B). Each group reviewed about half of the submissions on a regional basis with a view to determining the most compelling initiatives. The work of the two groups resulted in a consolidated list of 45 deserving initiatives. These deserving initiatives are contained in Annex I.

In its second plenary session, the members of the TC re-organised into two groups (C and D) with the purpose of identifying **15 outstanding initiatives** known as the "shortlist". Those common to both lists were unanimously admitted to short list. Those that were not common to both lists were discussed in plenary resulting in a final shortlist of 15 initiatives based on consensus.

The final short list of outstanding initiatives is contained in Annex II.

The TC prepared a brief for each short-listed initiative to inform the Jury of the rationale of its selection. These briefs are also contained in Annex II.

#### IV. Recommendations of the TC to the Secretariat

During the conduct of the appraisal process, members of the TC made the following observations, comments and recommendations to improve the Guangzhou Award process, as follows:

- The TC noted that there were few submissions showing innovative approaches to
  economic development and employment at the city level notwithstanding the current
  economic "crisis" affecting many parts of the world. This may require an attempt to reach
  out to appropriate networks to help identify such initiatives.
- The TC noted certain regional gaps and suggests that some of these gaps could be overcome by approaching the appropriate associations or networks;
- That the format of the submission be comprised of two parts: one part describing the policy, strategy and/or vision of the city, and the second part comprised of initiatives or projects being implemented by the city and/or its partners that best illustrate the innovation.
- The format of the submission form should be improved by requesting the submitters to fill in a summary sheet of not more than 400 words
- The TC feels that the submissions constitute a valuable knowledge capital and should be
  disseminated as widely as possible through a web-based platform which would enable
  cities to update their initiatives and new ones to register initiatives. This could be done in
  partnership with universities or other third parties.

The TC appreciates the clarity and the simplicity of the criteria and recommends that they be maintained.

#### V. TC Members

- Mr. Yu Keping (Chair of the Technical Committee), Director of the Center for Chinese Government Innovations (CCGI), Peking University (China)
- 2. Ms. Claudia Laub, Director of El Agora Association (Argentina)
- Mr. Roberto Mizrahi, Chairman of South North Development Initiative, economist and urban regional planner
- Mr. Thierry Lafont, Professor of the Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture of Versailles, member of IFHP (COFHUAT)
- Mr. Jim Powell, Former Deputy Mayor and Assembly Member, City and Borough of Juneau, Research fellow of the University of Alaska
- 6. Mr. Jeremy Smith, former Secretary General of the CEMR (United Kingdom)
- Mr. Zhang Xiaojin, Dean of the Department of Political Science, Tsinghua University, Advisor to the China National Commission for UNESCO
- Mr. Nicholas You, (Co-Chair of the Technical Committee) Chairman, World Urban Campaign Steering Committee (United States)

#### VI. Members of the Secretariat

- 1, Mr. Wang Fuchun, Deputy Director General of Guangzhou Award Secretariat
- 2. Mr. Zheng Jun, Director of Guangzhou Award Secretariat
- 3. Ms. Wu Xiaoling, staff member
- 4. Mr. Yang Zeliang, staff member
- 5. Ms. Chen Ming, staff member

### ANNEX I

### LIST OF 45 DESERVING INITIATIVES

The following initiatives are listed in alphabetical order.

1	Ahmedabad	BRT and Riverfront development	
2	Albay	Building resilient and safe communities through shared responsibility	
3	Aquascalientes	The Green Line comprehensive social development plan	
4	Ashkelon A strategic city decision support system: improving service performance, accountability and citizen satisfaction		
5	Aviles	Smart City doing away with red tape from within	
6	Belo Horizonte	Partnership approach to digital city, waste management and ACS	
7	Birmingham	Birmingham Public Service Academy	
8	Bremen	Car-sharing as strategic element to reclaim street space in the city	
9	Bristol	Comprehensive approach to becoming a smart eity	
10	Buenos Aires	Participatory and comprehensive approach to urban planning and renewal	
11	Cape Town	Electricity savings campaign	
12	Chiang Rai	Urban Biodiversity towards sustainable city and climate change resilience	
13	Cincinnati	Plan Cincinnati: 30 year comprehensive plan	
14	Cornella de Llobregat	Citilab - Cornella the fist citizen's digital library	
15	Curitiba	Linking environmental preservation to urban	
		development: the green areas of Curitiba	
16	Dakar	Paving of Dakar City	
17	Dubai	Dubai 2020 Masterplan	
18	Dusseldorf	Development concept for the south-eastern inner suburbs (EKISO)	
19	Guangzhou	Affordable Housing	
20	Gwangju	Carbon Bank: partnership and citizen involvement	
21	Harbin	Ecological construction and urban innovation	
22	Kadikoy	Yeldegrirmeni revitalisation project	
23	Kaoshiung	Comprehensive approach to a user friendly city	
24	Kocaeli	Prepare before its too late: learn to live with earthquake	
25	Lilongwe	Lilongwe-Johannesburg mentorship program	
26	Mashhad	Comprehensive approach to women and transport, investment and culture	
27	Medellin	Medellin Digital	
28	Melbourne	Darling Street storm-water harvesting scheme	
29	Mexico City	Participatory approach to an ecological city	
30	Perm		

31	Sabadell	Efficient, transparent and participatory urban management	
32	Sao Paolo	Cleaning the city	
33	Sakhnin	Linking innovation in building technology with traditional Arabic architecture	
27	Saterno	Sustamable energy INOW	
35	Seoul	Dealing with challenges facing youth and improving transparency in procurement	
26	Cinanana	Cincanaus Diahan Daule	
37	Surakarta	Human relocation and empowerment of street vendors	
38	Sylhet	A disaster resilient future mobilising communities and institutions for effective risk reduction	
39	Tallin	Free public transport	
40	Tehran	Green space, solar energy and improving social equity	
41	Vancouver	Visionary Vancouver: creating a welcoming and sustainable place for all	
42	Vienna	Social inclusion, energy optimisation and green procurement	
43	Vinh	Building a sustainable community in Vinh City	
44	Warsaw	Creating a dense, humane and sustainable urban extension	
45	Zouk Mikael	Local government youth strategies	